

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/736,334 12/15/2000 Dong Yeung Kwak 8733.321.00 8642 30827 7590 06/03/2003 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP **EXAMINER** 1900 K STREET, NW DUDEK, JAMES A WASHINGTON, DC 20006 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2871

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
1	i	1
	09/736,334	KWAK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	James A. Dudek	2871
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICE. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are provided to the period for reply. Any reply received by the Office later than three months are earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will. by statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on	
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	•
	for allowance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-29 is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-16,18 and 19</u> is/are rejec	cted.	·
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje		yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed	/- / -	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are req		
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim t	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority of 	locuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority d	locuments have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies o application from the Interna* See the attached detailed Office action	f the priority documents have been ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). I for a list of the certified copies not	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang	guage provisional application has b	peen received.
Attachment(s)	-	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8

Application/Control Number: 09/736,334

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 13-16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Applicant's prior art figures 9-10.

Per claim 13, Applicant's prior art figures 9 and 10 teach a liquid crystal display device, comprising: a lower plate having a lower substrate [glass substrate 72], a gate insulating film on said lower substrate [gate insulating film 74], an organic protective film on said gate insulating film [organic protective film 78], and a plurality of link electrodes [gate links 92 formed on the glass substrate 72], said lower plate further including a plurality of channels defined through said organic protective film [channels 94 which extend beyond the contact width of the seal, see figure 10], wherein each channel has a defining surface comprised of said gate insulating film [the channel cut into layer 74 and thus layer 74 partially defines the channel], and wherein said plurality of channels are at locations between two of said link electrodes [see figure 9]; an upper plate [80]; and a sealant coated seal between said lower plate and said upper plate for bonding said upper plate to said lower plate [seal 54], wherein said sealant coated seal crosses said link electrodes, and wherein said sealant contacts said gate insulating film [see figure 9, the seal contacts the sides of the cuts in the layer 74].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/736,334

Art Unit: 2871

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' prior art figures 9-10.

Per claim 18, prior art figures 9 and 10 teach a liquid crystal display device according to claim 13 except for said gate insulating film being comprised of an inorganic material. However it is notoriously well know to form gate insulating layers from SiO2 because SiO2 has high electrical resistance properties. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to combine SiO2 with applicant's prior art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Claim 17 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Per claim 1 and its associated dependent claims, the prior art of record teaches a liquid crystal display device, comprising: a lower plate having a lower substrate, a gate insulating film on said lower substrate, an organic protective film on said gate insulating film, a plurality of link electrodes, said lower plate further including a plurality of channels defined through said organic protective film, wherein each channel has a defining surface comprised of a metal pattern, and wherein said plurality of channels are at locations between two of said link electrodes; an upper plate; and a sealant coated seal between said lower plate and said upper plate for bonding said

Per claim 17, the prior art teaches a liquid crystal display device according to claim 13, but fails to teach or suggest said link electrodes are on said gate insulating film.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James A. Dudek whose telephone number is 308-4782. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 703-305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Mozes A. Dudek Primary Examiner Art Unit 2871

May 28, 2003